

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY | | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/774,944 | 01/30/2001 | Justin Broughton | 004919.P001 5527 | | |
| 28960 | 7590 06/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP | | | CARDONE, JASON D | | |
| | WOLFE ROAD E, CA 94086 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2145 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

| | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/774,944 | | BROUGHTON ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Jason D. C | ardone | 2145 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Res | sponsive to communication(s) filed | l on 03 March 2005. | | | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Sin | | | | | | | |
| clos | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Cla | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)□ Cla | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)□ Cla | im(s)is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Cla | im(s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction | n and/or election requ | uirement. | | | | |
| Application I | Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ The | specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| • | drawing(s) filed on is/are: | | objected to by the | Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | _ | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/05. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/05. Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PTO-152) Other: | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-31, drawn to media routers coupled to a workflow engine determining a tier (can escalate or de-escalate) for sending a contact with a media type, classified in class 709, subclass 243.
- Claim 32, drawn to present a contact to an agent, classified in class 709, subclass 228.
- III. Claims 33-44, drawn to changing a contact to upper or lower tier based on a specific change in routing criteria, classified in class 709, subclass 244.
- IV. Claims 45-47, drawn to data structures for records, classified in class 709, subclass 230.
- V. Claims 48-55, drawn to different calls for communicating between a media router and a contact workflow subsystem, classified in class 709, subclass 208.
- VI. Claims 56-60, drawn to allocating agents, classified in class 709, subclass 225.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, III, IV, V and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as multiple routers defining tier contact. Invention II has separate

Art Unit: 2145

utility such as an agent on a system. Invention III has separate utility such as changing tiers based on router criteria that is hinged on the initial tier. Invention IV has separate utility such as field setups of records. Invention V has separate utility such as master-slave relationship of communication. Invention VI has separate utility such as allocating resources. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II-VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Groups I and III-VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Groups I, II and IV-VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Groups I-III, V and VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2145

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group V is not required for Groups I-IV and VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group VI is not required for Groups I-V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason D. Cardone whose telephone number is (571) 272-3933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu. (6AM-3PM).

Art Unit: 2145

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (571) 272-6159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2145